University of Pretoria

The use and usefulness of UPeTD: the University of Pretoria's ETD repository

Elsabé Olivier & Ina Louw





www.up.ac.za

Contents

Background
UPeTD
Methodology
Results
Findings
Final lessons learnt
Conclusion





Background

University of Pretoria (UP)

- One of the leading & largest universities in South Africa
- 9 faculties & Business School
- Culturally diverse students
- Lectures presented in Afrikaans, English and Sepedi
- Offers 230 qualifications
- In 2011 1343 postgraduate programmes
- Student population 62 500
 - 45 000 contact students
 - 18 000 distance education students (67% are from Southern African Development Community Countries)





UPeTD



- UP supports Open Access
- Take active responsibility for dissemination of research outputs
- UPeTD operation for 12 years
- Ranking web of World Repositories ranked no. 67
- Mandatory policy
 - Required to submit both a paper and electronic copy of TD
 - Encouraged to self-submit
- Open Scholarship Office manages the policy with 2 staff members



Ranking Web of Repositories





UNIVERSITEIT VAN PRETORIA UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA YUNIBESITHI VA PRETORIA

Denisional + Localing-Minda - Disgepole the Divide

Methodology

Decided to utilize a questionnaire to

- evaluate the adoption of the electronic submission of theses and dissertations to the UPeTD database
- investigate and analyse the current processes and
- gain insight into supervisors attitudes towards the UPeTD repository

Objectives

- determine the usefulness of UPeTD as a repository
- establish the benefits for UPeTD users
- measure policy knowledge and compliance
- determine the most popular communication channel
- establish the current knowledge and awareness level





Qualtrics

Only supervisors were targeted
Personal e-mails were sent
Library website
Facebook page
Information specialists
Campus News
3 weeks completion time

165 Supervisors completed the questionnaire





Supervisors and ETDs

- Libraries are more enthusiastic than supervisors (Greig)
- Some supervisors can be very negative towards ETDs
- Supervisor's role is critical
- Quality of student-supervisor relationship is important in positive outcome (Styles & Radloff)
- Focus : attitudes of UP postgraduate supervisors towards UPeTD





Biographical results : Age distribution



Faculty representivity











Do you as supervisor make use of UPeTD?





Do you encourage your students to use UPeTD?



What do you expect students to gain from ETDs?





- Examples of research
- References
- Ideas on structuring
- Other



Which gateway to ETDs do you refer your students to?





What is your personal opinion of UPeTD?

Although supervisors find UPeTD useful, many do not know how to access it



#	Question	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	The repository is very useful	1	2	<u>27</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>39</u>
2	I do not make use of it at all	<u>45</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>14</u>
3	I do not obtain much value from accessing UPeTD	<u>35</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>3</u>
4	I cannot find what I am looking for	<u>34</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>8</u>	1
5	I do not know how to obtain access to UPeTD	71	<u>52</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>8</u>	1





What are the benefits of UPeTD for your students





- 24/7 access
- Visibility
- Enhance CVs/web
- Multimedia possibilities
- Other
- No benefits



Awareness

- 97% knew that it was compulsory to submit ETDs
- 85% was familiar with the policy
- Only 39% knew where to access the policy
- Many have never studied the policy & don't know where to access it
- Open ended question: what would you change about the policy
 - 53 answered
 - 28 would change nothing





What would you change about the policy?

More time for publication should be allowed

- UPeTD allows a 2 year embargo period
- Work gets plagiarised more
 - "Another advantage of electronic theses Turnitin has access as well and assist in countering plagiarism."





Do you inform, encourage and assist students to self-submit ETDs?

- □ Self-submission rate is very low 19%
- Supervisors inform & encourage
- Do not assist in self-submissions
- 57% was unaware of the web support and submission guidelines





What is your preferred communication channel?





Retrospective digitization of ETDs



□ Support from 86%

Only 15% knew that they could request to have items digitized



Access options to ETDs

42% discussed the access options carefully
 Only 34% knew that they needed a letter from the Vice-Principal to place an item on total embargo
 Management of access options causes huge problems

 "I did not realise that we could restrict dissertations"
 90% indicated that they were satisfied with the current management of the access options





Final lessons learnt

□ How can your UPeTD experience be improved?

- 39 responses received
- Questionnaire in itself raised awareness
- More queries have been received since the questionnaire circulated
- Some commented on the excellent service
- BUT many requested more assistance, marketing and training
- Many misconceptions e.g. publishing & copyright

Possible solutions

- Annual awareness drives
- Communicate news more regularly
- Provide more training, assistance and updated UPeTD manual





Conclusion

- Questionnaire was a valuable data collection instrument
- Provided insight into current adoption practices and attitudes

Positive side

- Many were fairly knowledgeable
- UPeTD was rated as a valuable source of information
- Offered many benefits for students

Negative side

- Supervisors had a lack of knowledge regarding policy, access options
- Misconceptions thrive on campus

Advocacy and training is an ongoing process





Final word from a supervisor...

"I have not used it in the past but due to this survey I will certainly do so and recommend to my students to use. In the past I just considered the electronic depositing of theses as an extra nuisance!"





References

- Copeland, S., & Penman, A. (2004). The development and promotion of electronic theses and dissertations (ETDs) within the UK, New Review of Information Networking, 10(1), 19-32. Retrieved August 3, 2012, from <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13614570412331311978</u>
- Greig, M. (2005). Implementing electronic theses at the University of Glasgow: cultural challenges. Library Collections, Acquisitions, & Technical Services, 29, 326-335. Retrieved August 1, 2012 from <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lcats.2005.08.006</u>
- Qualtrics: Sophisticated research made simple. (n.d.). Retrieved June 6, 2012, from <u>https://www.qualtrics.com/</u>





References



- Ranking Web of World Repositories (n.d.). Retrieved July 31, 2012, from <u>http://repositories.webometrics.info/about.html</u>
- Styles, I., & Radloff, A. (2001). The synergistic thesis: student and supervisor perspectives, Journal of Further and Higher Education, 25(1), 97-106. Retrieved August 1, 2012, from http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03098770020030533
- University of Pretoria (2011). UP at a glance. Pretoria: University of Pretoria. Retrieved July 30, 2012, from <u>http://web.up.ac.za/sitefiles/file/publications/2012/eng/UP_at_a_gl_ance(eng).pdf</u>



University of Pretoria

Thank you! Questions?

Elsabé Olivier elsabe.olivier@up.ac.za





 \odot

The presentation is licensed with Creative

Commons Attribution 3.0 License

www.up.ac.za